

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/508,397	09/20/2004	Hans Peter Rath	4396-8	9325		
23117	23117 7590 04/14/2006			EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			RABAGO, ROBERTO			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1713			
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2000	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/508,397	RATH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Roberto Rábago	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2006</u> .					
.2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception and request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		•		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 (and all claims dependent thereon) are indefinite because the component X has not been defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 2 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rath et al. (US 6,133,209) for the reasons set forth in item 5 of the Office action mailed 5/20/2005.

Double Patenting

- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 12-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,133,209 for the reasons set forth in item 7 of the Office action mailed 5/20/2005.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Rath '209 is not a proper reference in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/508,397

Art Unit: 1713

purported differences in terminal and internal functionality between the precursor compounds of the reference polymer versus the claimed polymer. However, precursor compounds are not being claimed, and it is further noted that the claims do not specify that the polymer is a terminal polyisobutenamine. In fact, the structures of the resultant polymers are described in both the instant claims and in the reference (see patented claims 8 and 1) in a substantially identical manner. Specifically, in both the reference and the instant claims the structure of the polymer is R-X, wherein R is polyisobutene and X comprises an optional intervening structure terminated by NR₁R₂. Furthermore, both structures have M_w/M_n<1.3 and M_n of 500-1500. Given such striking similarity, neither applicants' newly specified product-by-process limitations nor their discussion of unclaimed features is sufficiently persuasive to conclude that the claimed polymers are patentably distinct from those of the reference.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/508,397

Art Unit: 1713

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR April 13, 2006